



INSTITUTE OF AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING

(Autonomous)

Dundigal - 500 043, Hyderabad, Telangana

Feedback Analysis on Library Facilities Academic Year 2024-25

Average




Figure 1

Figure 1 provides an overview of student feedback on library facilities in the college. Ratings are predominantly positive, with "Excellent" at 27.35, "Very Good" at 27.46, and "Good" at 26.76, reflecting a strong level of satisfaction among students. However, a smaller portion rated the facilities lower, with "Fair" at 9.51 and "Poor" at 8.92, indicating some areas for improvement. While the overall feedback suggests that students generally appreciate the library services, addressing the concerns of those in the lower rating categories could further enhance user satisfaction.

Adequacy of library collection




Figure 2

Figure 2 depicts the highest percentage, 32.14%, chose "Good," followed by 26.46% selecting "Very Good," and 19.74% opting for "Excellent." Lower ratings were less common, with 11.88% marking "Fair" and 9.79% selecting "Poor." While overall approval is strong, the lower ratings highlight areas that could be improved. Enhancing training modules to better match evolving industry requirements could further strengthen student preparedness.

Printing and photocopying services are adequate




Figure 3

Figure 3 displays feedback on the adequacy of printing and photocopying services, with most ratings being positive. "Excellent" and "Good" each received the highest percentage at 30.99%, followed by "Very Good" at 19.72%. Lower ratings were less frequent, with "Fair" at 8.45% and "Poor" at 9.86%. Overall, more than 81% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement to enhance service efficiency and accessibility.

Availability of digital library and nodes




Figure 4

Figure 4 reveals that most respondents gave positive ratings, with 26.76% selecting "Excellent," 28.17% choosing "Very Good," and 23.94% opting for "Good." Lower ratings were less common, with 11.27% marking "Fair" and 9.86% selecting "Poor." Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement, such as enhancing accessibility or infrastructure quality.

How much satisfied are you with Internet facility provided in the library




Figure 5

Figure 5 reveals that the "Very Good" received the highest percentage at 28.17%, followed by "Excellent" at 26.76% and "Good" at 23.94%. Lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" at 11.27% and "Poor" at 9.86%. Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement, such as enhancing accessibility or infrastructure quality.

How would you rate the provision for group studies in the library




Figure 6

Figure 6 outlines that lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" and "Poor" each at 9.86%. Overall, more than 80% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest potential improvements in the availability or quality of group study spaces.

Library space is adequate




Figure 7

Figure 7 represents that "Very Good" received the highest percentage at 30.99%, followed by "Excellent" at 26.76% and "Good" at 22.54%. Lower ratings were less frequent, with "Fair" at 9.86% and "Poor" at 9.86%. Overall, more than 80% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest potential improvements in the availability or quality of group study spaces.

Working hours of the library beyond the college timings



Figure 8

Figure 8 presents that the highest rating is in the Very good category at 35.21%, reflecting strong approval of the extended hours. This is followed by Excellent at 25.35% and Good at 21.13%, together accounting for over 81% of respondents who rate the working hours positively. The Fair and Poor

categories have lower percentages at 9.86% and 8.45%, respectively, indicating minimal dissatisfaction. Overall, the data suggests a highly favourable perception of the library's extended hours, with the vast majority (over 81%) rating it as Good or better, and only a small fraction expressing concerns.

Availability of magazines/periodicals and articles in the library




Figure 9

Figure 9 illustrates students' feedback on the availability of magazines, periodicals, and articles in the library. A majority of respondents rated this aspect positively, with 32.39% selecting "Good," followed by 25.35% choosing "Excellent" and 23.94% opting for "Very Good." However, 8.45% rated it as "Fair," while 9.86% marked it as "Poor," indicating some dissatisfaction. While overall perceptions lean toward satisfaction, the presence of lower ratings suggests opportunities to expand and improve the selection of reading materials to better meet students' needs.

Resources available in the library are latest and relevant




Figure 10

Figure 10 reveals that highest rating is in the Very good category at 29.58%, closely followed by Excellent at 26.76% and Good at 25.35%, together accounting for over 81% of respondents who view the resources positively. The Fair and Poor categories have significantly lower percentages at 9.86% and 8.45%, respectively, indicating minimal dissatisfaction. This distribution suggests a strong overall satisfaction with the library's resources, with the vast majority (over 81%) rating them as Good or better, and only a small proportion expressing concerns about their relevance or regency.

Staff availability in the library is adequate, supportive and informative




Figure 11

Figure 11 conveys the rating is in the Good category at 29.58%, followed closely by Excellent at 28.17% and Very good at 23.94%, together accounting for over 81% of respondents who view the staff positively. The Fair and Poor categories have lower percentages at 9.86% and 8.45%, respectively, indicating minimal dissatisfaction. This suggests a strong overall satisfaction with the library staff's availability, supportiveness, and in formativeness, with the vast majority (over 81%) rating them as Good or better, and only a small fraction expressing concerns.

Availability of library management system




Figure 12

Figure 12 suggest that the highest rating is in the Very good category at 30.99%, followed by Excellent at 29.58% and Good at 25.35%, together accounting for over 85% of respondents who view the system positively. The Fair and Poor categories have significantly lower percentages at 5.63% and 8.45%, respectively, indicating minimal dissatisfaction. This distribution reflects a highly favorable perception of the library management system's availability, with the vast majority (over 85%) rating it as Good or better, and only a small fraction expressing concerns.

Adequacy of books issued / retention period is sufficient




Figure 13

Figure 13 elucidate that the distribution of responses across five categories: Excellent, very good, Good, Fair, and Poor. The highest rating is in the Excellent category at 28.17%, closely followed by Very good and Good, both at 26.76%, indicating a strong positive perception among respondents. The Fair category accounts for 11.27%, while the Poor category has the lowest rating at 7.04%, suggesting minimal dissatisfaction. Overall, approximately 81.69% of respondents rate the adequacy of books issued and retention period as Excellent, very good, or Good, reflecting a high level of satisfaction. The low percentages in the Fair and Poor categories indicate that the majority are content with the current system, with only a small fraction expressing concerns.

Recommendations of Internal Quality Assurance Centre

S.No.	Feedback received	Recommendations
1	Availability of digital library and nodes	Allocate resources to address the most reported concerns, such as increasing staff during peak hours or upgrading equipment in affected areas.
2	How much satisfied are you with Internet facility provided in the library	Conduct a detailed survey and focus group with users to identify specific issues (e.g., facility availability, staff support, or resource access).