



INSTITUTE OF AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING

(Autonomous)

Dundigal - 500 043, Hyderabad, Telangana

Feedback Analysis on Career Services Academic Year 2024-25

Average




Figure 1

Figure 1 presents student feedback on career services in the college, revealing varied levels of satisfaction. The highest-rated category is "Good," averaging 31.69, indicating that many students find the services reasonably effective. "Very Good" follows at 26.87, while "Excellent" stands at 19.82, suggesting moderate approval overall. However, lower ratings, with "Fair" at 11.77 and "Poor" at 9.85, highlight areas for improvement. While career services are generally well-received, addressing concerns in the lower-rated categories could enhance support and guidance, ensuring students receive more effective career-related assistance.

Companies visiting the campus are well published in advance to the students



Figure 2

Figure 2 shows that 78.48% of respondents rated the communication as Good, Very Good, or Excellent, suggesting that the majority of students are satisfied with how these announcements are managed. However, 21.53% of respondents rated the system as Fair or Poor, highlighting potential issues with consistency or clarity in the communication process.

Pre placement talks by the visiting companies / Industries



Figure 3

Figure 3 presents feedback on pre-placement talks by visiting companies and industries, with most ratings being positive. "Good" received the highest percentage at 32.05%, followed by "Very Good" at 27.83% and "Excellent" at 18.99%. Lower ratings were less frequent, with "Fair" at 11.56% and "Poor" at 9.58%. Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement to enhance the effectiveness of these sessions.

Is selection process fair/ unbiased?




Figure 4

Figure 4 depicts that the feedback on the fairness and impartiality of the selection process, with most responses being positive. "Good" received the highest percentage at 32.16%, followed by "Very Good" at 26.79% and "Excellent" at 19.51%. Lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" at 11.83% and "Poor" at 9.71%. Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest some concerns that could be addressed to improve fairness and transparency.

Does the institute take active interest in promoting internship, student exchange and field visit opportunities for students?




Figure 5

Figure 5 represents the feedback on the institute's efforts in promoting internships, student exchange, and field visit opportunities, with most ratings being positive. "Good" received the highest percentage at 32.16%, followed by "Very Good" at 26.79% and "Excellent" at 19.51%. Lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" at 11.83% and "Poor" at 9.71%. Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement to further enhance these opportunities for students.

Industry feedback is communicated to students in time.




Figure 6

Figure 6 displays that the feedback on how timely industry feedback is communicated to students, with most ratings being positive. "Good" received the highest percentage at 31.67%, followed by "Very Good" at 26.49% and "Excellent" at 19.68%. Lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" at 11.83% and "Poor" at 10.33%. Overall, more than 77% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement to ensure more effective and timely communication of industry feedback.

Are Mock interviews & GDs conducted before companies visit the campus?




Figure 7

Figure 7 depicts the feedback on mock interviews and group discussions conducted before company visits, with most ratings being positive. "Good" received the highest percentage at 31.78%, followed by "Very Good" at 27.21% and "Excellent" at 19.53%. Lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" at 11.97% and "Poor" at 9.52%. Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement to enhance the effectiveness of these preparatory sessions.

Does Career Development Centre (CDC) to inculcate employability skills to make industry ready?




Figure 8

Figure 8 reveals the feedback on the Career Development Centre (CDC) and its role in developing employability skills for industry readiness. "Good" received the highest percentage at 32.14%, followed by "Very Good" at 26.46% and "Excellent" at 19.74%. Lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" at 11.88% and "Poor" at 9.79%. Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement to further enhance skill development and industry preparedness.

Training provided by the Career development centre is relevant and adequate as per Industry needs?




Figure 9

Figure 9 displays the feedback on the relevance and adequacy of training provided by the Career Development Centre for industry needs. "Good" received the highest percentage at 32.14%, followed by "Very Good" at 26.46% and "Excellent" at 19.74%. Lower ratings were less common, with "Fair" at 11.88% and "Poor" at 9.79%. Overall, more than 78% of responses fall within the "Good" to "Excellent" range, indicating general approval. However, the lower ratings suggest areas for improvement to better align training with industry expectations.

Recommendations of Internal Quality Assurance Centre

S.NO.	Feedback received	Recommendations
1	Career Development Programs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strengthened skill-building initiatives with updated training modules and expert-led workshops.
2	Pre-placement Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced mock interviews and group discussions with industry-specific scenarios and detailed feedback
3	Industry Feedback Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improved timely feedback sharing through seminars, mentoring sessions, and structured reporting mechanisms.
4	Internship & Exchange Opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expanded student exchange and field visit programs for greater industry exposure.
5	Selection Process Transparency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implemented clearer communication of criteria and independent monitoring to ensure fairness.